

Trustworthy AI In Human Resources

Findings of the discussion in the workshop on 18.01.2022



CHALLENGES	OPPORTUNITIES
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overestimation of the possibilities of AI, since AI is often not understood or the limits / restrictions of this technology are not realised, and thus the true application possibilities and results are overestimated. • Compliance with legal framework (data protection, liability, etc.). These can limit the development but must not be left to the market. Currently required proofs according to e.g. fairness are difficult to provide. • Definition of responsibilities for the fulfillment of various requirements. Several different perspectives must be considered: Development, vendor or user side. • Lack of knowledge among developers and researchers on legal and ethical guidelines to make qualified decisions on what is fair, etc. • Lack of skills to deal with AI based software/systems or to interpret data. Lack of training, information, or education. The requirement profile for decision makers needs to be adapted. • Difficult perception of bias also in society (making the subconscious aware). • Lack of transparency regarding algorithms, training data, data protection, etc., decisions must be comprehensible. • Algorithmic discrimination by AI systems if not all criteria, biases, etc. are properly considered. • Lack of data quality, because biased data / poor quality data are used as a starting point for an AI development. This leads to unknown secondary biases, among others. • Access to unbiased data is almost impossible due to lack of data, but also bias in society. • Lack of access to data for research and development. • Lack of knowledge about needs and intended uses, so AI is developed in ignorance of market needs. • Lack of co-determination of all stakeholders in the development and use of AI (incl. veto rights). • Human decision-making/control of big data is very difficult. • Lack of measurable / addressable criteria for quality control. • The impact of human intervention in AI decisions has not yet been clearly researched. For example, it is still unknown when meaningful human control exists (automation bias), and when potential biases arise from such intervention. Lack of documentation / traceability when humans intervene in AI decisions. • Consideration of a company's / organization's values in AI-supported decision-making processes is difficult to implement. • Lack of trust in AI-supported decisions, especially skepticism or lack of acceptance by employers and employees. With automation, there needs to be a lot of trust. • Risk of discrimination, that AI systems support people in already privileged sectors, but discriminate more in less privileged sectors. • Lack of openness to the future, so that the possibility of the completely different is not given. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Existing discrimination patterns become visible, and this creates a great opportunity to question previous decisions and to take action against discrimination in society, to reduce or prevent it. • Disclose decisions and document them in a transparent and comprehensible way. Human factor / informal decisions and structures have reduced influence. • Control of decisions is made possible. • Ethical discussion is stimulated and enables new opportunities. • Initiation of discussions on the values and conditions of the use of algorithms. • Strengthening diversity as well as increased fairness through data-based analysis. Subjective judgments are minimized, discrimination is prevented or reduced by focusing on qualifications. • Increased fit between employees or applicants and the vacancy to be filled. • Opening of the job market and equal opportunities are promoted, e.g. equal access to information. People learn about positions or are considered for them who would otherwise never have been interested in or applied for the position. • Process optimization, since processes can become faster and cheaper or easier to use. This results in economic advantages, such as the reduction of time and financial resources. • Labor relief and a good supplement to human-managed processes "AI as an additional employee". However, the human being is not completely replaced. • Increased quality of work by, for example, recognizing and preventing demotivations at an early stage and thus achieving more joy at work, less sick leave etc. • Making hidden champions transparent and promoting the use of previously unused talents. • Individualization, e.g. in career paths, will be increased (career on demand). • Counteract shortage of skilled workers to some extent. Fairness is in demand and new target groups can be tapped by breaking down previous barriers. • Employer branding can benefit from the use of AI if it is communicated transparently internally and externally, and the limitations are openly discussed. • Organizational resilience and engagement. Operating fairly also means operating resiliently. • Large amounts of data can be analyzed through standardized processing and better handling of highly complex issues is made possible. This enables new insights. • Stakeholders and advocacy shape top to bottom and can drive the issue forward. • Universal regulations and certifications enable Trustworthy AI and its opportunities.